

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner's reconsideration of the present application.

Applicant wishes to thank Examiner Ahn for discussing the issues presented in the Office Action mailed 6/16/2009 with Applicant's representative, Lawrence Cho, over the phone on October 6, 2009. The Office's objection to the drawings and specification were discussed. Applicant's representative agreed to address these objections in a response.

Claims 1-9, 11-15, 17-23, 25-27, 29-35, 37-50 are pending in the present application.

Claims 1-9, 11-15, 17-23, 25-27, 29-35, 37-50 are allowed.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR §1.83(a).

The specification is objected to due to informalities.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR §1.83(a). Specifically, the Office Action mailed 6/16/2009 states in part the following.

The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "synchronization point that identifies an amount of delay incurred from transmission of the sample sequences from the correlation outputs" must be shown as the feature(s) recited from the claim(s).